

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,252	02/21/2002		Yasumasa Mizushima	SON-2058/YAM	9700
7590 04/29/2008 Ronald P Kananen				EXAMINER	
Rader Fishman & Grauer				GORT, ELAINE L	
Suite 501 1233 20th Street NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036				3687	
				<del></del>	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				04/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# MAILED FROM DIRECTORS OFFICE

RONALD P KANANEN RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER SUITE 501 1233 20<sup>th</sup> STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036

APR 2 8 2008

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600** 

In re Application of:
Yasumasa Mizushuma et al.
Application No. 09/980,252
Filed: February 21, 2002
For: CONCENTRATED PHYSICAL
DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM FOR CARGO
AND METHOD THEREFOR

DECISION ON PETITION TO REQUEST WITHDRAWAL OF THE FINAL OFFICE ACTION OF MAY 18, 2007 UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181

This decision is in response to the petition filed on August 9, 2007 entitled "Petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 to Request Withdrawal of Final Office Action."

## File History

A non-final office action mailed on January 3, 2007 indicated that claims 37-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0091089 to Bjerre.

In the response to said non-final office action, the applicant submitted remarks and added new claims 44-52. However, said applicant did not amend previously presented claims 37-43.

In the final office action of May 18, 2007, the Examiner rejected previously presented claims 37-43 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,151,588 to Tozzoli et al. The Examiner noted that applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in the final office action.

### The Instant Petition

The Instant Petition requests reconsideration of the finality of the final office action of May 18, 2007.

#### Decision

A review of the file reveals that the finality of the final office action of May 18, 2007 has been withdrawn and prosecution was reopened pursuant to a panel decision from preappeal brief review mailed on January 4, 2008. A non-final Office action was subsequently mailed on March 13, 2008.

The Instant Petition is therefore **DISMISSED** as moot.

Any questions regarding this decision should be directed to Matthew S. Gart, Supervisory Patent Examiner, at (571) 272-3955.

Wynn Coggins, Director

Patent Technology Center 3600

(571) 272-5350

Msg/snm:

4/14/2008